

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

The state of the s		WWW.dopen.go		
An states in other		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/670,230	FILING DATE	Andrew R. Barron	1789-02202	7227
	09/28/2000			
7590 07/30/2002			EXAMINER	
Marcella D W Conley Rose &	t Tayon PC		VO, HAI	
P O Box 3267 Houston, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Houston, 121	,,===		1771	7
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/20	02

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

`,				1527
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		09/670,230	BARRON ET AL.	
Office Action S	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit	
			1771	Idross
The MAILING DATE O	f this communication app	Hai Vo pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	lui ess
The MAILING DATE C		- A-T-TO EVENE 4 MONTH	I(S) FROM	
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF THE - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mai - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extension - Any reply received by the Office late earned patent term adjustment. See	under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ing date of this communication. In it is less than thirty (30) days, a repove, the maximum statutory period nded period for reply will, by statuter than three months after the mailing	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to (19) within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro (6) e, cause the application to become ABANDON (9) date of this communication, even if timely file	ays will be considered time m the mailing date of this	ely. communication.
Status		·		
, –	munication(s) filed on 2h)⊠ T	his setient is non-inial.		_
2a) This action is FINA	•	- for formal matters	prosecution as to	the merits is
3) Since this application closed in accordance Disposition of Claims	ce with the practice unde	vance except for formal matters, er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.	
57 01 : (a) 1 01 is/ore	pending in the applicati	on.		
4) Of the above cla	im(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.		,
- :	re allowed.			
is/2				
=,□ 01=im(o) is/a	re objected to.			
7)	ubject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
8) Claim(s) 1-61 are s	uujuu			
	objected to by the Exam	iner.		
			EXAMMEN.	i(a).
Applicant may not	equest that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance	unproved by the Exa	aminer.
— — — — and draw	ing correction filed on $_$	IS. a) approved 5/22	ipproved by the Exc	
if approved correct	ted drawings are required i	irrepry to this		
12) The oath or declara	ation is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
	400		140(a)_(d) or (f)	
13) Acknowledament	is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	1 18(a)-(u) 01 (1).	
VE ALL EVE Some	ב ∗ כו⊏ None of:			
1	the second and the document	ments have been received.	-liection No	
Y		manta nava neen received , Pi	plication inc	- · ional Stage
3.☐ Copies of t	he certified copies of the tion from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eceived.	
* See the attached	letailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not re	119(e) (to a provi	sional application).
14) Acknowledgment	s made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 55 5.5.5.5	en received.	
a) ☐ The translati 15)☐ Acknowledgment	on of the foreign languag is made of a claim for do	ge provisional application has be omestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121	
Attachment(s)		🗖	Summary (PTO-413) Pa	aper No(s)
Notice of References Cite Notice of Draftsperson's F Information Disclosure St	d (PTO-892) ratent Drawing Review (PTO-9 atement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	48) 5) ☐ Notice of I	nformal Patent Applica	
3) Li information Discissare st				Part of Paper No. 7

Application/Control Number: 09/670,230

Art Unit: 1771

Supplemental Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-34, and 44-51, drawn to a ceramic body, classified in class 501, subclass various.
- II. Claims 35-38, drawn to a fiber-reinforced material, classified in class 428, subclass 317.9.
- III. Claims 39-43, and 52-63, and 73-81 drawn to a method of controlling the porosity and pore size distribution of a ceramic body without the dipcoating of a fiber, classified in class 264, subclass various.
- IV. Claims 64-72, drawn to a method of controlling the porosity and pore size distribution of a ceramic body with the dip-coating of a fiber, classified in class 427, subclass various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a ceramic membrane filter by itself and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or

Application/Control Number: 09/670,230

Art Unit: 1771

clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and IV are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a ceramic membrane filter by itself and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions (III, IV) and (I, II) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as one that includes the final step of firing the dried nano-particles at the temperature below 300° C.

Application/Control Number: 09/670,230

Art Unit: 1771

Page 4

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since the restriction mailed on 05/22/02 is improper, the supplemental restriction letter is deemed necessary to modify the method of controlling the porosity and pore size distribution of a ceramic body with/without the dip-coating of a fiber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, 8:30 to 5:00 (EAST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV

July 23, 2002

Isitu M. Ole